UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V	ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:_ DATE FILED:_3/11/2022
TZVI KLEIN, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated,	rs:	
Plaintiff,	: 21	-CV-9248 (VEC)
-against-	· :	<u>ORDER</u>
PHYTON TALENT ADVISORS LLC and SOCIETE GENERALE AMERICAS	· :	

VALERIE CAPRONI, United States District Judge:

WHEREAS on March 10, 2022, the Court was notified that the parties, through mediation, reached an agreement on all issues, see Dkt. 36;

Defendants.:

WHEREAS this case involves claims brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA");

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties may not dismiss this action with prejudice unless the settlement agreement has been approved by either the Court or the Department of Labor (DOL). See Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc., 796 F.3d 199, 206 (2d Cir. 2015). Accordingly, to the extent the parties wish to dismiss this action with prejudice, they must either file a joint letter motion requesting that the Court approve the settlement agreement or, alternatively, provide documentation of the approval by DOL. Any letter motion, along with the settlement agreement, must be filed on the public docket by April 11, 2022. The letter motion must explain why the proposed settlement is fair and reasonable and should discuss, at a minimum, the following factors:

(1) the plaintiff's range of possible recovery; (2) the extent to which "the settlement will enable the parties to avoid anticipated burdens and expenses in establishing their respective claims and defenses"; (3) the seriousness of the litigation risks faced by the parties; (4) whether "the settlement agreement is the product of arm's-length bargaining between experienced counsel"; and (5) the possibility of fraud or collusion.

Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) (quoting Medley v. Am. Cancer Soc., No. 10-CV-3214, 2010 WL 3000028, at *1 (S.D.N.Y. July 23, 2010)). The letter must also address whether there is a bona fide dispute as to the number of hours worked or the amount of compensation due and how much of the proposed settlement plaintiff's attorney shall be seeking as fees. See Cheeks, 796 F.3d at 206. Absent special circumstances, the Court will not approve any settlement agreement that is filed under seal or in redacted form. See id.

The parties are advised that the Court is unlikely to approve any agreement that contains a general release or a release of any claims that were not raised in the complaint and are unrelated to wage-and-hour claims under the FLSA or related state laws. *See Flores-Mendieta v. Bitefood Ltd.*, No. 15-CV-4997, 2016 WL 1626630, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 21, 2016) ("A FLSA settlement cannot offer the defendant a sweeping release from liability that would 'waive practically any possible claim against the defendants, including unknown claims and claims that have no relationship whatsoever to wage-and-hour issues.") (quoting *Cheeks*, 796 F.3d at 206). Such releases are generally disfavored, even if mutually applicable to all parties. *See Gurung v. White Way Threading LLC*, 226 F. Supp. 3d 226, 229 (S.D.N.Y. 2016) ("The fact that the general release is styled as mutual . . . does not salvage it, absent a sound explanation for how this broad release benefits the plaintiff employee."). If, notwithstanding that admonition, the parties believe that this case is sufficiently unusual that the Court should consider approving a release that goes beyond wage-and-hour and related labor law claims, the joint motion *must* explain why such a settlement term is appropriate in this case. Failure to do so will likely result

in the motion being summarily denied but may also result in sanctions against the attorneys for failure to comply with this Court's order.

The Second Circuit has left open for future decision whether an FLSA case may be settled without Court or DOL approval and dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A). See id. at 201 n.2 ("[W]e leave for another day the question of whether parties may settle [FLSA] cases without court approval . . . by entering into a Rule 41(a)(1)(A) stipulation without prejudice."). If the parties wish to proceed without Court or DOL approval, they must submit a stipulation pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A). Any such stipulation must be filed on the public docket within 30 days and must be accompanied by an affirmation from Plaintiff's counsel (1) stating that the Plaintiff(s) have been clearly advised that the settlement of this case does not preclude them from filing another lawsuit against the same Defendant(s) and (2) affirming that the settlement agreement does not contain a release of the Defendant(s). See, e.g., Elfenbein v. Gulf & W. Indus., Inc., 590 F.2d 445, 449 (2d Cir. 1978) (per curian) ("[A] dismissal without prejudice permits a new action (assuming the statute of limitations has not run) without regard to Res judicata principles." (quoting Rinieri v. News Syndicate Co., 395 F.2d 818, 821 (2d Cir. 1967)). The parties are warned that this option runs the risk that the case may be reopened in the future.

If no letter or stipulation is filed by **April 11, 2022**, a conference shall be held on **April 15, 2022**, at **10:30** a.m. in Courtroom 443 of the Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, New York, New York 10007.

SO ORDERED.

Date: March 11, 2022

New York, NY

VALERIE CAPRONI United States District Judge